arXiv:1607.07820v1 [math.GT] 26 Jul 2016

HOMOLOGICAL INVARIANCE OF INFINITE K-AREA

BENEDIKT VON SEELSTRANG

Abstract. Following ideas of Brunnbauer and Hanke, we construct a notion of infinite K-area for homology classes of simplicial complexes with finitely generated fundamental groups. As in Brunnbauer's and Hanke's work, the results concerning these homology classes will imply that Gromov's property of having infinite K-area depends only on the image of the fundamental class under the classifying map of the universal cover. As a corollary we obtain another proof of a theorem of Fukumoto, that the property of infinite K-area is invariant under p-surgery with $p \neq 1$.

As a result of the proof of our main theorem, we will clarify a point left open in a paper of Mishchenko and Teleman about extensions of almost flat bundles.

1. Introduction

Gromov and Lawson introduced several notions of metric largeness of Riemannian manifolds including enlargeability [6] or having infinite K-area [5]. They have in common that they refer to a Riemannian metric in their definition but are in fact independent of the choice of metric if the manifold is closed. Let us briefly recall the definitions of enlargeability and of K-area.

A Riemannian manifold X is called *enlargeable* [6] if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a Riemannian covering (i. e., one which preserves the metric) $\tilde{X}_\epsilon \to X$ together with an ϵ-Lipschitz map $\tilde{X}_\epsilon \to S^n$ to the unit sphere in $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ which is constant outside a compact set and which has non-zero degree.

The definition of K-area [5] is a bit more complicated. Let X be an even-dimensional closed orientable Riemannian manifold and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of all Hermitian vector bundles $E \to X$ with compatible connection ∇ such that at least one Chern number of E does not vanish, i. e.

$$\langle p(c(E)), [X] \rangle \neq 0 \tag{1}$$

for some rational polynomial $p(c(E))$ in the Chern classes of E. Let $\mathcal{R}^\nabla$ denote the curvature tensor associated to the connection ∇. Then $\mathcal{R}^\nabla$ is a section of $(\bigwedge^2(TX))^* \otimes \mathrm{End}(E)$, so the definition

$$\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\| := \sup_{\substack{v \wedge w \in \bigwedge^2 TX \\ \|v \wedge w\| = 1}} \|\mathcal{R}^\nabla(v,w)\|_{\mathrm{op}}$$

makes sense (recall that $\|v \wedge w\|^2 = \|v\|^2\|w\|^2 - \langle v, w\rangle^2$). Now the *K-area* of X is defined to be

$$\text{K-area}(X) := \left(\inf_{(E,\nabla)\in\mathcal{B}} \|\mathcal{R}^{\nabla}\|\right)^{-1} \in [0,\infty].$$

The concept of infinite K-area arises from ideas of the paper [2] of Connes, Gromov and Moscovici.

In [1], Brunnbauer and Hanke introduced a notion of enlargeability for homology classes of simplicial complexes which we will also recall:

Let X be a connected simplicial complex. Assume in addition that $\pi_1(X)$ is finitely generated. Let $\eta \in H_n(X;\mathbb{Q})$ be a homology class. Let $S \subset X$ be a finite connected subcomplex and $\eta_S \in H_n(S;\mathbb{Q})$ be a homology class such that

- $(\iota_S)_*\eta_S = \eta$, where $\iota_S\colon S \to X$ denotes the inclusion.
- the map $(\iota_S)_*\colon \pi_1(S,s_0) \to \pi_1(X,s_0)$ which is induced by inclusion is an epimorphism for any choice of basepoint $s_0 \in S$ (as in [1], we will call S a π_1-*surjective* subcomplex).

Such a subcomplex always exists: η can be represented as simplicial homology class, i. e. as a finite linear combination of simplices of X. Take those simplices and add enough 1-simplices to capture all the homotopy classes of curves in X. You only need finitely many 1-simplices since $\pi_1(X)$ is finitely generated.

Now η is called *enlargeable* with respect to (S,η_S) if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a connected covering $p_\epsilon\colon \tilde{X}_\epsilon \to X$ and an ϵ-Lipschitz map $\tilde{S}_\epsilon := p_\epsilon^{-1}(S) \to S^n$ which is constant outside a compact set and maps $p^!(\eta_S)$ to a non-zero class in $H_n(S^n;\mathbb{Q})$. Here $p^!(\eta_S)$ is the class in locally finite homology which is represented by the formal sum of the preimages of the simplices that represent η_S. Recall that locally finite homology (with rational coefficients) is the homology of the chain complex $(C_*^{\text{lf}}(\tilde{S};\mathbb{Q}))$ which is defined as follows: $C_n^{lf}(\tilde{S};\mathbb{Q})$ consists of formal sums $\sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i f_i$ where all $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where each $f_i\colon \Delta^n \to \tilde{S}$ is a singular n-simplex such that for every compact set $K \subset \tilde{S}$ only finitely many $i \in I$ satisfy $\text{im}(f_i) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. The differential is the same differential as for singular homology, so obviously locally finite homology and singular homology coincide for compact spaces. Thus $p^!(\eta_S)$ is mapped by functoriality to a class in $H_n^{\text{lf}}(S^n;\mathbb{Q}) = H_n(S^n;\mathbb{Q})$.

It follows that a closed oriented Riemannian manifold X is enlargeable if and only if its fundamental class $[X]$ is enlargeable with respect to $(X,[X])$. Brunnbauer and Hanke show that the definition of enlargeability for homology classes is independent of the choice of (S,η_S) and use this property to show the following functorial property:

Theorem 1.1. *[1, Proposition 3.4] Let X and Y be connected simplicial complexes with finitely generated fundamental groups. Let $\phi\colon X \to Y$ be a continuous map. Let $\eta \in H_n(X;\mathbb{Q})$ be a homology class.*

- *If ϕ induces an epimorphism of fundamental groups and $\phi_*(\eta)$ is enlargeable, then η is enlargeable.*
- *If ϕ induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups and η is enlargeable, then $\phi_*(\eta)$ is enlargeable.*

In particular, consider the classifying map of the universal covering of a manifold X, i. e. the map $\Phi\colon X \to B\pi_1(X)$ that induces the identity on fundamental groups (Φ is unique up to homotopy). Then X is enlargeable if and only if the class $\Phi_*[X] \in H_n(B\pi_1(X);\mathbb{Q})$ is enlargeable. Therefore the property of being enlargeable depends only on the class $\Phi_*[X]$. This is called *homological invariance* of enlargeability.

The aim of this paper is to transfer the methods of Brunnbauer and Hanke to the notion of infinite K-area. To do this, one needs a substitute for connections with small curvature on vector bundles over simplicial complexes. This substitute will be motivated by the fundamental result from classical Riemannian geometry that if we are given a bundle with small curvature then parallel transport along the boundary of a region of small area is close to the identity (see theorem A.2 for a precise statement). In particular, small curvature implies small parallel transport along short curves.

In this spirit Hanke [7] defines a *holonomy representation* on a bundle $E \to X$ over a Riemannian manifold to be a continuous functor $H\colon \mathcal{P}_1(X) \to \mathcal{T}(E)$ where

- $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ is the path groupoid of X which has as objects the points of X and as morphisms the paths between points. The topology on the set of morphisms is the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology.
- $\mathcal{T}(E)$ has as objects again the points of X and as morphisms from x to y the isomorphisms from E_x to E_y. The set of morphisms of $\mathcal{T}(E)$ is, of course, just the bundle $E^* \boxtimes E$ over $X \times X$ and therefore has a natural topology.
- The functor is assumed to be the identity on objects.

A *holonomy representation* is called *ϵ-small at scale l* if for every loop γ of length l which is based at a point x the induced automorphism $H(\gamma)$ satisfies $\|H(\gamma) - \mathbb{1}\| < \epsilon \cdot \mathrm{length}(\gamma)$. However, it seems that for some of the following arguments, in particular for the proof of [7, Proposition 3.12.], one actually needs that parallel transport along curves is close to the identity not only if the length of the curves is short but really if the area bounded by the curve is small. With this adapted definition the results in [7] remain unchanged.

In our paper we prefer to work with the related concept of *ϵ-small families of trivializations* on vector bundles over a simplicial complex. They consist of unitary trivializations of the bundle over each simplex such that the transition functions are ϵ-Lipschitz. A similar concept was introduced by Mishchenko and Teleman in [10].

Now K-area of a homology class can be defined as follows: Let X be a connected simplicial complex with finitely generated fundamental group and let $\eta \in H_n(X;\mathbb{Q})$ be a homology class. As the definition of enlargeability of Brunnbauer and Hanke [1] we choose a subcomplex $S \subset X$ and a class $\eta_S \in H_n(S;\mathbb{Q})$ such that S is π_1-surjective (i. e. the inclusion $S \subset X$ induces an epimorphism of fundamental groups).

The homology class η is now said to have *infinite K-area* with respect to (S, η_S) if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to S$ satisfying $\langle p(c(E)), \eta_S \rangle \neq 0$ for some rational polynomial in the Chern classes of E, and there exists an ϵ-small family of trivializations on E. An important result of this paper is that this definition is independent of the choice of (S, η_S):

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a simplicial complex with finitely generated fundamental group, and let $\eta \in H_n(X;\mathbb{Q})$ be a homology class. Let S and T be finite connected π_1-surjective subcomplexes of X and let $\eta_S \in H_n(S;\mathbb{Q})$ and $\eta_T \in H_n(T;\mathbb{Q})$ be as demanded by the definition of infinite K-area. Then η has infinite K-area with respect to (S, η_S) if and only if η has infinite K-area with respect to (T, η_T).*

Consequently we will drop (S, η_S) from the notation and say that η has *infinite K-area* if it has infinite K-area with respect to any (S, η_S). This definition of infinite K-area has the property that the fundamental class of a closed oriented Riemannian manifold has infinite K-area if and only if the manifold itself has infinite K-area in the classical sense (corollary 2.14).

We will then show that theorem 1.1 has an analogue for infinite K-area:

Theorem 3.7. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous (not necessarily simplicial) map, and let $\eta \in H_n(X;\mathbb{Q})$.*

- *If $f_*\eta$ has infinite K-area, then η has infinite K-area.*
- *If η has infinite K-area and $f_* : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ is bijective, then $f_*\eta$ has infinite K-area.*

The proof of this statement also clarifies a point left open in [10] if almost flat bundles over a complex X can always be extended onto almost flat bundles on a given finite subcomplex of the classifying space of the fundamental group $B\pi_1(X)$ (corollary 3.10).

Note that we do not need an assumption on f for the first part of the theorem. The reason for this is that the surjectivity on fundamental groups is needed in the proof of theorem 1.1 to show that the pull back of some connected covering is still connected. However, in our setting we only need to pull back vector bundles which is possible without additional assumptions.

Theorem 3.7 then will imply homological invariance of infinite K-area (corollary 3.9).

Listing [9] has already defined homology of infinite K-area for homology classes of manifolds by substituting the fundamental class in equation 1 by other homology classes: A class $\eta \in H_n(X;\mathbb{Q})$ has infinite K-area in the sense of Listing if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to X$ with compatible connection ∇ of curvature $\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\| < \epsilon$ such that $\langle p(c(E)), \eta\rangle \neq 0$ for some rational polynomial in the Chern classes of E. It will be a consequence of section 2 that for closed manifolds his definition agrees with the one given in this paper.

We will show as a consequence of our homologicaal invariance results that infinite K-area is invariant under p-surgery with $p \neq 1$, a fact which has been proven directly by Fukumoto in [3].

This work is based on the author's Master's thesis at Universität Augsburg. The author would like to thank his thesis adivisor Bernhard Hanke for his invaluable help and support.

2. Homology of infinite K-area

In this section I will give the definition of an ϵ-small family of trivializations on a vector bundle over a simplicial complex. This concept will then be used in the definition of infinite K-area for homology classes of the simplicial complex. The idea that underlies the definition of a family of trivializations is that one only needs to specify what parallel transport along very specific curves should be, namely along line segments through the barycenter of a simplex.

Let X be a simplicial complex. I will denote by Σ_X the set of simplices of X. If we write $\dim(\sigma)$ for the dimension of a simplex σ, then σ will be a set of $(\dim(\sigma)+1)$ elements. Additionally, if $\emptyset \neq \rho \subset \sigma$, then also ρ is a simplex of X. Every point $p \in X$ can uniquely be written as a convex combination

$$p = \sum_{x \in \sigma_p} \lambda_x x$$

with all $\lambda_x > 0$ and $\sigma_p \in \Sigma_X$. The elements $x \in \sigma$ are called *vertices* of σ. A *vertex* of X is a vertex of any simplex of X.

For a simplex $\sigma \in \Sigma_X$ I define

$$\Delta^\sigma := \operatorname{conv}\{x \mid x \in \sigma\} = \left\{ \sum_{x\in\sigma} \lambda_x x \mid \lambda_x \geq 0, \sum_{x\in\sigma} \lambda_x = 1 \right\}$$

to be the geometric realisation of σ. Of course, X is the union of the geometric realisations of all its simplices.

By a choice of ordering for the vertices of σ, we get a homeomorphism $\Delta^{\dim(\sigma)} \to \Delta^\sigma$, where Δ^k is the standard k-simplex, i. e. the convex hull of the standard basis vectors $e_0, \ldots, e_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. Since the inclusions $\Delta^k \to \Delta^{k+1}$ are isometric embeddings,

two points $x, y \in \Delta^\sigma$ have a distance which does not depend on the choice of σ. Analogously, the area of a triangle $\Delta(xyz)$ with $x, y, z \in \Delta^\sigma$ can be defined independently of the choice of σ. Let

$$b(\sigma) := \frac{1}{|\sigma|} \sum_{x \in \sigma} x \in \Delta^\sigma$$

be the *barycenter* of σ.

Let $p_0, \dots, p_n$ be vertices of X. A *simplicial path* $[p_0, \dots, p_n]$ is the concatenation of the paths $t \mapsto (1-t)p_i + tp_{i+1}$. In other words, $[p_0, \dots, p_n]$ is the path which runs linearly from p_i to p_{i+1} in the interval $[\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}]$. A closed simplicial path is a simplicial path where $p_n = p_0$.

Let $E \to X$ be a Hermitian vector bundle, and let σ be a simplex of X. If X were a smoothly triangulated manifold and if there was a Hermitian connection on E, then we would obtain unitary isomorphisms

$$P_{b(\sigma),x} \colon E_{b(\sigma)} \to E_x$$

by parallel transport from $b(\sigma)$ radially outwards. If in addition we chose an orthonormal basis of $E_{b(\sigma)}$ (or equivalently a unitary isomorphism $\Phi_\sigma(b(\sigma), \cdot) \colon \mathbb{C}^l \to E_{b(\sigma)}$), we would obtain a trivialization of $E|_{\Delta^\sigma}$, namely

$$\Phi_\sigma \colon \Delta^\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^l \to E|_{\Delta^\sigma}, \quad (x, v) \mapsto P_{b(\sigma),x} \Phi_\sigma(b(\sigma), v).$$

This leads to the following definition:

Definition 2.1. Let $E \to X$ be a Hermitian vector bundle. A *family of trivializations* Φ on E consists of unitary trivializations (i. e. trivializations which preserve the norm on every fibre)

$$\Phi_\sigma \colon \Delta^\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^l \to E|_{\Delta^\sigma}.$$

We will need the following two easy but extremely helpful facts about unitary maps:

Lemma 2.2. *Let A and B be two linear maps between unitary vector spaces (i. e., complex vector spaces with Hermitian inner product). Then*

- $\|AB\| \le \|A\|\|B\|$,
- $\|AB\| = \|B\| = \|BA\|$ *if A is unitary (i. e., preserves inner products),*
- $\|AB - \mathbb{1}\| = \|B - A^{-1}\| = \|BA - \mathbb{1}\|$ *if A is unitary.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $0 < \epsilon \le 1$. Let $V_1, \dots, V_n$ be unitary vector spaces. Let*

$$P = A_n B_n A_{n-1} B_{n-1} \cdots A_1 B_1 \colon V_1 \to V_1$$

where the $A_i \colon V_i \to V_{i+1}$ are unitary, $V_{n+1} = V_1$, $A_n \cdots A_1 = \mathbb{1}$ and the $B_i \colon V_i \to V_i$ are linear with $\|B_i - \mathbb{1}\| < \epsilon$.

Then $\|P - \mathbb{1}\| < c \cdot \epsilon$ *with* $c = c(n)$ *depending only on* n.

Proof. Replace B_i by $(B_i - \mathbb{1}) + \mathbb{1}$ in the definition of P and expand. Then, using the fact that $\epsilon \leq 1$, we see that $P - \mathbb{1}$ is the sum of $2^n - 1$ linear maps which all have a norm bounded by ϵ. By the triangle equality, $\|P - \mathbb{1}\| < (2^n - 1) \cdot \epsilon$. □

Now let ρ, σ be simplices of X. We define transition functions $\Psi_{\rho,\sigma} \colon \Delta^\rho \cap \Delta^\sigma \to U(l)$ by

$$\Psi_{\rho,\sigma}(x) := \Phi_\rho(x, \cdot)^{-1} \Phi_\sigma(x, \cdot) = \Phi_\rho(b(\rho), \cdot)^{-1} P_{x,b(\rho)} P_{b(\sigma),x} \Phi_\sigma(b(\sigma), \cdot).$$

For the moment let us assume that the family of trivializations Φ comes from a Hermitian connection ∇ on a smooth vector bundle E over a compact manifold X as described above.

Let $\rho \subset \sigma$ be simplices of X and let $x, y \in \Delta^\rho$ and assume that $\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\| < \epsilon$. Note that by compactness, X only has finitely many simplices. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi_{\rho,\sigma}(x) - \Psi_{\rho,\sigma}(y)\| &= \|\Psi_{\rho,\sigma}(x)\Psi_{\rho,\sigma}(y)^{-1} - \mathbb{1}\| \\
&= \|\Phi_\rho(b(\rho), \cdot)^{-1} P_{x,b(\rho)} P_{b(\sigma),x} P_{y,b(\sigma)} P_{b(\rho),y} \Phi_\rho(b(\rho), \cdot) - \mathbb{1}\| \\
&= \|P_{x,b(\rho)} P_{b(\sigma),x} P_{y,b(\sigma)} P_{b(\rho),y} - \mathbb{1}\| \\
&= \|(P_{b(\rho),y} P_{x,b(\rho)} P_{y,x})(P_{x,y} P_{b(\sigma),x} P_{y,b(\sigma)}) - \mathbb{1}\| \\
&\leq c(X)\epsilon \cdot \operatorname{dist}(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

For the inequality we used the small curvature of ∇ to conclude that parallel transport $P_{\Delta(abc)}$ along the boundary of a triangle $\Delta(abc)$ satisfies $\|P_{\Delta(abc)} - \mathbb{1}\| < \epsilon \cdot \operatorname{area}(\Delta(abc))$ (for convenience, this fact is given as theorem A.2 in the appendix). This, together with the fact that the area of the triangle $\Delta(xyb(\sigma))$ equals $\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{dist}(x, y)\operatorname{dist}(b(\sigma), \partial\Delta^\sigma)$ with respect to the metric coming from the standard simplex, and that this metric and the Riemannian metric of X are in a bi-Lipschitz correspondence by an easy compactness argument, implies that $\|P_{b(\rho),y} P_{x,b(\rho)} P_{y,x} - \mathbb{1}\| < c(X)\epsilon \cdot \operatorname{dist}(x, y)$ and $\|P_{x,y} P_{b(\sigma),x} P_{y,b(\sigma)} - \mathbb{1}\| < c(X)\epsilon \cdot \operatorname{dist}(x, y)$. Applying lemma 2.3 then yields the inequality.

The inequality means that $\Psi_{\rho,\sigma}$ is a $c(X)\epsilon$-Lipschitz map. Therefore, the following definition makes perfect sense:

Definition 2.4. A family of trivializations Φ on a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to X$ is called *ϵ-small* if for all simplices σ, ρ the transition function $\Psi_{\rho,\sigma}$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant ϵ.

The preceding paragraph thus summarizes to the following:

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a smoothly triangulated Riemannian manifold. Then there is a constant $c = c(X)$ such that for every Hermitian vector bundle $E \to X$*

with compatible connection ∇ such that $\|\mathcal{R}^{\nabla}\| < \epsilon$, the corresponding family of trivializations is $c\epsilon$-small.

Proof. Let σ, ρ be two arbitrary simplices of X. Then $\sigma \cap \rho \subset \sigma, \rho$ and

$$\Psi_{\rho,\sigma} = \Psi_{\rho,\sigma\cap\rho}\Psi_{\sigma\cap\rho,\sigma}.$$

Each of the both factors is $c_0\epsilon$-Lipschitz for some constant c_0, so their product is still $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz for another constant c by lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. □

There is also a sort of converse for proposition 2.5 (namely theorem 2.12) whose proof will take most of the remainder of this section. We first prove that for small families of trivializations, transporting along nullhomotopic simplicial paths is small. Here transport is defined as follows: Let Φ be a family of trivializations on a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to B$ and let $\rho = [a, b]$ be a 1-simplex with vertices a and b. Then *transport* from a to b along ρ is defined to be the map

$$T_{[a,b]} \colon E_a \to E_b, \quad T_{[a,b]} := \Phi_\rho(b, \cdot)\Phi_\rho(a, \cdot)^{-1}.$$

If $\gamma = [p_0, \ldots, p_n]$ is a simplicial path in X, then transport along γ is defined to be the map

$$T_\gamma := T_{[p_0,\ldots,p_n]} := T_{[p_{n-1},p_n]} \cdots T_{[p_0,p_1]} \colon E_{p_0} \to E_{p_n}.$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a simplicial complex and let γ be a nullhomotopic closed simplicial path. Then there is a constant $c = c(X, \gamma)$ with the following property:*

Let Φ be an ϵ-small family of trivializations on a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to B$. Then

$$\|T_\gamma - \mathbb{1}\| \leq c\epsilon.$$

Proof. We begin with the case that $\gamma = [a, b, c, a]$ is the boundary of a 2-simplex ρ in X. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\rho(b, \cdot)^{-1}T_{[a,b]}\Phi_\rho(a, \cdot) &= \Phi_\rho(b, \cdot)^{-1}\Phi_{[a,b]}(b, \cdot)\Phi_{[a,b]}(a, \cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\rho(a, \cdot) \\ &= \Psi_{\rho,[a,b]}(b)\Psi_{\rho,[a,b]}(a)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

so $\|\Phi_\rho(b, \cdot)^{-1}T_{[a,b]}\Phi_\rho(a, \cdot) - \mathbb{1}\| \leq \epsilon \cdot \operatorname{dist}(a, b)$ by definition of an ϵ-small family of trivialization and by lemma 2.2. The assertion now follows from lemma 2.3, applied to the map

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\rho(a, \cdot)^{-1}T_\gamma\Phi_\rho(a, \cdot) = (\Phi_\rho(a, \cdot)^{-1}T_{[c,a]}\Phi_\rho(c, \cdot)) \circ (\Phi_\rho(c, \cdot)^{-1}T_{[b,c]}\Phi_\rho(b, \cdot)) \\ \circ (\Phi_\rho(b, \cdot)^{-1}T_{[a,b]}\Phi_\rho(a, \cdot)).\end{aligned}$$

By the theorem of Seifert and van Kampen, the fundamental group of X is the fundamental group of the 1-skeleton of X with the additional relations that a curve which moves along the boundary of a 2-simplex is nullhomotopic. By the simplicial approximation theorem, the equivalence relation homotopy between simplicial paths

in the 1-skeleton is generated by inserting a path of the form $[x, y, x]$ or deleting such a path.

Now let γ be an arbitrary nullhomotopic closed simplicial path. Then γ can be obtained by a constant path by inserting or deleting paths of the forms $[x, y, x]$, where $[x, y]$ is a 1-simplex, or paths of the form $[a, b, c, a]$ as above. Now lemma 2.3 proves the assertion of the theorem by induction on the number of such operations if we note that $T_{[x,y,x]}$ is the identity. □

One important ingredient in proving a converse for proposition 2.5 is the following lemma which will also be used in section 3. The statement is a simplicial variant of lemma 2.2 in [3] and its proof uses the same ideas.

Lemma 2.7. *Let X be a finite simply connected simplicial complex. Then there are positive constants $\delta = \delta(X)$ and $c = c(X)$ with the following property:*

Let Φ be an ϵ-small family of trivializations on a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to X$, where $\epsilon \leq \delta$. Then there is a global unitary trivialization $\Xi\colon X \times \mathbb{C}^l \to E$ such that the transition functions

$$\Delta^\sigma \to U(l), \quad x \mapsto \Xi(x, \cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\sigma(x, \cdot)$$

are $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz for every simplex σ of X.

Proof. First note that without loss of generality we may precompose Φ_σ with some constant unitary map $P \in U(l)$ since this does not influence the Lipschitzness of the transition functions by lemma 2.2.

We will first construct Ξ over the 1-skeleton of X. Suppose that S is a connected subcomplex of the 1-skeleton of X and that we already have a map Ξ_S such that the transition functions

$$\Delta^\sigma \to U(l), \quad x \mapsto \Xi_S(x, \cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\sigma(x, \cdot)$$

are $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz for every simplex σ of S. Let ρ be a 1-simplex of X which is not contained in S such that $S \cup \Delta^\rho$ is still connected.

There are two cases: If only one vertex a of ρ is contained in S then we may extend Ξ_S over Δ^ρ by letting

$$\Xi_{S\cup\rho}(x, \cdot) := \Phi_\rho(x, \cdot)\Phi_\rho(a, \cdot)^{-1}\Xi_S(a, \cdot).$$

Then $x \mapsto \Xi_{S\cup\rho}(x, \cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\rho(x, \cdot)$ is constant and therefore in particular $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz.

If, on the other hand, both vertices a and b of ρ are contained in S, we may choose a path γ_0 from a to b in S and compose this path with the line segment $[b, a] = \Delta^\rho$. The result is a closed simplicial path γ which is nullhomotopic by assumption. By lemma 2.6,

$$\|T_\gamma - \mathbb{1}\| \leq c\epsilon. \tag{2}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_S(b,\cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\rho(b,\cdot)\Phi_\rho(a,\cdot)^{-1}\Xi_S(a,\cdot) &= \Xi_S(b,\cdot)^{-1}T_{[a,b]}\Xi_S(a,\cdot)\\ &= \Xi_S(b,\cdot)^{-1}T_{\gamma_0}T_\gamma^{-1}\Xi_S(a,\cdot)\\ &= (\Xi_S(b,\cdot)^{-1}T_{\gamma_0}\Xi_S(a,\cdot))(\Xi_S(a,\cdot)^{-1}T_\gamma^{-1}\Xi_S(a,\cdot)),\end{aligned}$$

we can use lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and the assumption on S to see that

$$\|\Xi_S(b,\cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\rho(b,\cdot) - \Xi_S(a,\cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\rho(a,\cdot)\| \leq c\epsilon$$

if we allow c to be a bit larger. Therefore, again after increasing c, there exists a $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz curve $P\colon \Delta^\rho \to U(l)$ from $\Xi_S(a,\cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\rho(a,\cdot)$ to $\Xi_S(b,\cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\rho(b,\cdot)$. We may extend Ξ_S by letting

$$\Xi_{S\cup\rho}(x,\cdot) := \Phi_\rho(x,\cdot)P(x)^{-1}.$$

So now we may assume that we already have a trivialization Ξ_S over some subcomplex $S \subset X$ which contains the 1-skeleton. Let ρ be a simplex of $X \setminus S$. By assumption, the map $x \mapsto \Xi_S(x,\cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\rho(x,\cdot)$ is $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz on the boundary of Δ^ρ. If δ (and therefore ϵ) is small enough, then this map may be extended to a $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz map P on the whole of Δ^ρ. As in the 1-dimensional case, we may let $\Xi_{S\cup\rho}(x,\cdot) := \Phi_\rho(x,\cdot)P(x)^{-1}$.

The extension can be constructed as follows: The image of $\partial\Delta^\rho$ under the map $x \mapsto \Xi_S(x,\cdot)^{-1}\Phi_\rho(x,\cdot)$ is contained in a $\tilde{c}\epsilon$-ball (where $\tilde{c}$ is the diameter of Δ^ρ). Now if ϵ is small enough, then we can use the exponential map and a radial contraction to get the desired extension. □

We have the following corollary of the proof of lemma 2.7:

Corollary 2.8. *There exists a positive constant c with the following property:*

Let Φ be a family of trivializations on a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to \partial\Delta^2$. Assume that

$$\|T_{[e_0,e_1,e_2,e_0]} - \mathbb{1}\| < \epsilon,$$

where $\epsilon \leq \delta$, and e_i are the vertices of Δ^2. Then Φ can be extended to a $c\epsilon$-small family of trivializations on the whole of Δ^2.

Proof. We may take the same construction as in the proof above since we only needed that simplicial paths are nullhomotopic to apply lemma 2.6 and to get equation (2). However, (2) is exactly the assumption of this corollary, so everything goes through just as above. □

In order to prove an converse for proposition 2.5, we will need to blow-up and approximate the trivializations Φ_ρ to open subsets of the manifold such that the

transition functions $\Psi_{\rho,\sigma}$ are smooth and still $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz. This is accomplished by the next lemma:

Lemma 2.9. *Let X be a triangulated Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Then there is a constant $c = c(X)$ and an open neighborhood U_σ of Δ^σ for every simplex σ of X with the following property:*

Let Φ be an ϵ-small family of trivializations on a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to X$. Then there are trivializations $\Theta_\sigma \colon U_\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^l \to E|_{U_\sigma}$ such that the transition functions

$$\Gamma_{\sigma,\rho} \colon U_\sigma \cap U_\rho \to U(l), \quad x \mapsto \Theta_\sigma(x,\cdot)^{-1}\Theta_\rho(x,\cdot)$$

are all smooth and $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz.

Proof. Let σ be some simplex. Let U_σ be the union of all simplices in the barycentric subdivision of X which have a common vertex with σ. Then U_σ is a finite simply connected simplicial complex and a neighborhood of Δ^σ. The old trivializations Φ_ρ, restricted to the simplices of U_σ, form an ϵ-small family of trivializations. Therefore we can employ lemma 2.7 to find a trivialization Θ_σ such that all the transition functions are $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz.

Now if we allow U_σ to be smaller, we may approximate Θ_σ such that the transition functions are actually smooth and still $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz. □

Now the idea is to construct a connection with small curvature inductively on the sets U_σ of lemma 2.9 (or on smaller neighborhoods of the interiors $\mathring{\Delta}^\sigma$). To do this, we need an extension lemma for connections which is more or less lemma 2.4 of [3].

Lemma 2.10. *Let B be a (not necessarily compact) Riemannian manifold without boundary. Write $X := B \times [0,5]$ with the product metric. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ with the following property:*

Let $E = X \times \mathbb{C}^l$ be the standard trivial vector bundle over X, and let E be equipped with a connection ∇ which is compatible with the canonical Hermitian metric on E. We may write $\nabla = \partial + \Gamma$, where ∂ is taking directional derivatives and Γ is a section of $T^*X \otimes End(E)$. Assume that

- *Γ is small in the sense that $\|\Gamma_x\| \leq \delta\|x\|$ for every $x \in TX$ and*
- *$\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\| \leq \delta$.*

Then there exists another compatible connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on E with the following properties:

- *$\|\tilde{\Gamma}_x\| \leq \epsilon\|x\|$ for every $x \in TX$ if $\tilde{\nabla} = \partial + \tilde{\Gamma}$,*
- *$\|\mathcal{R}^{\tilde{\nabla}}\| \leq \epsilon$,*
- *$\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla$ over $B \times [0,1]$ and*
- *$\tilde{\nabla} = \partial$ over $B \times [4,5]$.*

Proof. Let $\chi_1\colon [0,5]\to[0,5]$ be a smooth map satisfying $\chi_1|_{[0,1]}=\mathbb{1}$ and $\chi_1|_{[2,5]}=5$. We may canonically identify E and $(\mathbb{1}\times\chi_1)^*E$ by the bundle isomorphism

$$B\times[0,4]\times\mathbb{C}^l\to(\mathbb{1}\times\chi_1)^*E,\quad (b,t,v)\mapsto(b,t,(b,\chi_1(t),v)).$$

Let $\hat\nabla:=(\mathbb{1}\times\chi_1)^*\nabla$. In terms of Christoffel symbols $\hat\nabla=\partial+\hat\Gamma$, this means that if e_i is the i-th basis vector, then for $x\in TB$, $\tau\in T[0,5]=\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\hat\Gamma_{(x,\tau)}e_i=\hat\nabla_{(x,\tau)}e_i=\nabla_{(x,(\chi_1)_*\tau)}e_i=\Gamma_{(x,(\chi_1)_*\tau)}e_i$$

since $(\mathbb{1}\times\chi_1)^*e_i=e_i$. In particular, $\|\hat\Gamma_{(x,\tau)}\|=\|\Gamma_{(x,(\chi_1)_*\tau)}\|\le\delta\|(x,(\chi_1)_*\tau)\|\le c\delta\|(x,\tau)\|$. Of course, $\hat\nabla=\nabla$ over $B\times(0,1)$, but now $\hat\Gamma_{(x,\tau)}=\Gamma_{(x,0)}$ if $\tau\in T_r[0,5]$ and $r\ge2$. Note that still $\|\mathcal{R}^{\hat\nabla}\|\le c\delta$ by an easy computation, so we may assume that $\nabla=\hat\nabla$ and in particular that $\Gamma_{(x,\tau)}=\Gamma_{(x,0)}$ if $\tau\in T_r[0,5]$ with $r\ge2$.

Let $\chi_2\colon[2,5]\to[0,1]$ be a smooth map which satisfies $\chi_2|_{[2,3]}=1$ and $\chi_2|_{[4,5]}=0$. We let

$$\tilde\Gamma_{(x,\tau)}:=\chi_2(t)\Gamma_{(x,0)}$$

if $\tau\in T_t[2,5]$. Then $\tilde\Gamma=0$ on $B\times[4,5]$ (and therefore $\tilde\nabla=\partial$ over $B\times[4,5]$ if we let $\tilde\nabla=\partial+\tilde\Gamma$), and $\tilde\Gamma_{(x,\tau)}=\Gamma_{(x,\tau)}$ if $\tau\in T_t[2,3]$, so $\tilde\Gamma$ may be extended to $B\times[0,5]$ by letting $\tilde\Gamma=\Gamma$ on $B\times[0,2]$. Obviously, still $\|\tilde\Gamma_x\|\le\delta\|x\|$. It only remains to show that $\|\mathcal{R}^{\tilde\nabla}\|\le\epsilon$ if δ is small enough.

Let $x,y\in T_qX$ and $s\in E_x$. We extend x,y constantly in a coordinate neighborhood (so that $[x,y]=0$) and extend s constantly with respect to the trivialization (so that $\partial s=0$). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^\nabla(x,y)s&=\nabla_x\nabla_ys-\nabla_y\nabla_xs=\nabla_x(\Gamma_ys)-\nabla_y(\Gamma_xs)\\&=((\partial_x\Gamma_y-\partial_y\Gamma_x)+[\Gamma_x,\Gamma_y])s.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Let $q=(t,p)$, $x=(v_1,w_1)$ and $y=(v_2,w_2)$ with $v_1,v_2\in T_pB$ and $w_1,w_2\in T_t[0,5]$. Assume in addition that $\|(v_1,w_1)\|\le1$ and $\|(v_2,w_2)\|\le1$. If we replace Γ by $\tilde\Gamma$ in (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{\tilde\nabla}(x,y)&=(\partial_{w_1}\chi_2)\Gamma_{(v_2,w_2)}-(\partial_{w_2}\chi_2)\Gamma_{(v_1,w_1)}\\&\quad+\chi_2((\partial_{(v_1,w_1)}\Gamma_{(v_2,w_2)})-(\partial_{(v_2,w_2)}\Gamma_{(v_1,w_1)}))\\&\quad+\chi_2^2[\Gamma_{(v_1,w_1)},\Gamma_{(v_2,w_2)}]\\&=(\partial_{w_1}\chi_2)\Gamma_{(v_2,w_2)}-(\partial_{w_2}\chi_2)\Gamma_{(v_1,w_1)}\\&\quad+\chi_2\mathcal{R}^\nabla(x,y)+(\chi_2^2-\chi_2)[\Gamma_{(v_1,w_1)},\Gamma_{(v_2,w_2)}]\end{aligned}$$

We have $\|\Gamma_{(v_i,w_i)}\|\le\delta$, $\chi_2\le1$, $|\chi_2^2-\chi_2|\le\frac14$, $\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla(x,y)\|\le\delta$ and $\partial_{w_i}\chi_2\le\sup_t|\chi_2'(t)|$. Therefore,

$$\|\mathcal{R}^{\tilde\nabla}(x,y)\|\le2\sup_t|\chi_2'(t)|\delta+\delta+\frac12\delta^2\underset{\delta\to0}{\longrightarrow}0.$$

By making δ small enough, this proves the claim of the lemma. □

The following well-known argument shows that for closed manifolds, the notion of having infinite K-area is independent of the choice of metric. This will be needed in the course of the proof of theorem 2.12, so I will give the proof here.

Lemma 2.11. *Let X be a compact differentiable manifold and let g_1, g_2 be two Riemannian metrics on X. Then there are constants $c, C > 0$ such that $c\|v\wedge w\|_{g_1} \leq \|v \wedge w\|_{g_2} \leq C\|v \wedge w\|_{g_1}$ for all $v \wedge w \in \Lambda^2 TM$.*

In particular, for every connection ∇ on a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to X$ we have

$$c\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\|_{g_2} \leq \|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\|_{g_1} \leq C\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\|_{g_2}.$$

Proof. Since X is compact, also

$$S := \{v \wedge w \in \Lambda^2 TM \mid \|v \wedge w\|_{g_1} = 1\}$$

is compact. Since $\|\cdot\|_{g_2}$ is continuous, it takes its maximum $C \in \mathbb{R}$ on S. Therefore,

$$\|v \wedge w\|_{g_2} = \|v \wedge w\|_{g_1} \left\| \underbrace{\frac{v \wedge w}{\|v \wedge w\|_{g_1}}}_{\in S} \right\|_{g_2} \leq C\|v \wedge w\|_{g_1}.$$

The second claim follows directly from the definition of $\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\|$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\|_{g_1} &= \sup_{\|v\wedge w\|_{g_1}\leq 1} \|\mathcal{R}^\nabla(v,w)\| \leq \sup_{\frac{1}{C}\|v\wedge w\|_{g_2}\leq 1} \|\mathcal{R}^\nabla(v,w)\| \\ &= \sup_{\|v\wedge w\|_{g_2}\leq 1} (C\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla(v\wedge w)\|) = C\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\|_{g_2}\end{aligned}$$ □

If we put everything together, we get the promised converse for proposition 2.5.

Theorem 2.12. *Let X be a smoothly triangulated Riemannian manifold. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ with the property that for every δ-small family of trivializations on a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to X$ there exists a compatible connection ∇ on E satisfying $\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\| \leq \epsilon$ (in the course of the proof, a smooth structure on E will be constructed).*

Proof. Let U_σ, Θ_σ and $\Gamma_{\sigma,\rho}$ be as in lemma 2.9. Since the transition functions $\Gamma_{\sigma,\rho}$ are smooth, E carries a smooth structure such that U_σ are smooth trivializations. Assume that ∇ has already been constructed on a neighborhood N of the $(k-1)$-skeleton of X. We will also assume that if we write $\nabla = \partial + \Gamma$ with respect to some trivialization Θ_σ, then $\|\Gamma_x\| \leq c\epsilon$ for all $x \in TU_\sigma$. We will construct ∇ on a neighborhood of the k-skeleton.

Let X_k denote the union of all the interiors of k-simplices of X. This is a smooth submanifold, so it possesses a tubular neighborhood $T = X_k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset X$, where

$X_k = X_k \times \{0\}$. Now let σ be a simplex of dimension k, and let $T_\sigma := \mathring{\Delta}^\sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. Note that for distinct σ, ρ of dimension k, T_σ and T_ρ are disjoint. Now $U_\sigma \cap T_\sigma$ is an open neighborhood of $\mathring{\Delta}^\sigma$, so it contains a tubular neighborhood of $\mathring{\Delta}^\sigma$, so we may assume that $T_\sigma \subset U_\sigma$. Since N is a neighborhood of the $(k-1)$-skeleton, we can find an open subset $D \subset \mathring{\Delta}^\sigma$ such that D is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^k$, and under this diffeomorphism the image of $D \cap N$ contains the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|x\| \geq 1\}$. By making T_σ still smaller, we may assume that $T_\sigma \cap N$ contains the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|x\| \geq 1\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset T_\sigma$.

We replace N by $N \setminus (\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|x\| \leq 2\} \times \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k} : 1 \leq \|x\| \leq 2\})$ (this is a technicality which is needed for the well-definedness of the new connection). Now $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : 1 \leq \|x\| \leq 2\} \times \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k} : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ is diffeomorphic to $([0,3] \times S^{k-1}) \times \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k} : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ by using polar coordinates on the first factor, and by lemma 2.11 we may assume that this space has the product metric with respect to the latter product decomposition. Now by lemma 2.10 we may assume that $\nabla = \partial$ on $(2,3) \times S^{k-1} \times \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k} : \|x\| < 1\}$ with respect to Θ_σ, and therefore can be extended by $\nabla = \partial$ on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|x\| \leq 1\} \times \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k} : \|x\| < 1\}$. By 2.10, the induction hypotheses are fulfilled for the new connection, and since the T_σ are all disjoint, this construction may be performed for all k-simplices σ simultaneously.

Since the n-skeleton of X equals X itself, the claim of the theorem is proven. □

This now motivates the following definition of infinite K-area of homology classes of X.

Definition 2.13. Let G be an abelian group. Let X be a connected simplicial complex with finitely generated fundamental group. Let $\eta \in H_n(X; G)$ where n is even. Let $S \subset X$ be a finite connected π_1-surjective subcomplex of X (recall that a π_1-surjective subcomplex [1] is a subcomplex suth that the inclusion induces an epimorphism on fundamental groups) and $\eta_S \in H_n(S; G)$ such that $(\iota_S)_* \eta_S = \eta$. The homology class η has *infinite K-area with respect to* (S, η_S) if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to S$ with ϵ-small family of trivializations Φ such that

- if $f\colon S \to BU$ is the classifying map of E, then $f_* \eta_S \neq 0 \in H_n(BU; G)$.
- if γ is a closed simplicial path in S which is nullhomotopic in X, then parallel transport along γ is small, i. e.

  $$\|T_\gamma - \mathbb{1}\| \leq c\epsilon$$

  where $c = c(S, \gamma)$ does not depend on E.

The condition on the Chern classes is equivalent to the condition (1) if $G = \mathbb{Q}$ [cf. 9]. Now proposition 2.5 and theorem 2.12 immediately imply the following:

Corollary 2.14. *A triangulated closed oriented Riemannian manifold X has infinite K-area if and only if its fundamental class $[X]$ has infinite K-area with respect to $(X, [X])$.* □

In [9], Listing defines a class $\eta \in H_n(X; G)$ to have infinite K-area if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a Hermitian bundle $E \to X$ with compatible connection ∇ such that $\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla\| \leq \epsilon$ and $f_*\eta \neq 0 \in H_n(BU; G)$ where $f\colon X \to BU$ is the classifying map of E. Therefore, we have the following generalization of corollary 2.14:

Corollary 2.15. *Let X be a closed oriented Riemannian manifold. Then $\eta \in H_n(X; G)$ has infinite K-area in the sense of Listing's paper [9] if and only if η has infinite K-area with respect to (X, η) in the sense of this paper.* □

3. Homological invariance

The first part of this section will be concerned with the fact that definition 2.13 is independent of the choice of (S, η_S).

The main technical argument in showing the independence of definition 2.13 of the choices we made is that vector bundles with a small family of trivializations over the boundary of a simplex can be extended onto the interior of the simplex. This corresponds to lemma 3.2 in [1]. For simplices of dimension at least 3, the boundary is simply connected, so we may take lemma 2.7. The statement for simplices of dimension 2 is corollary 2.8.

Using this, we can show the following independence result:

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a simplicial complex with finitely generated fundamental group, and let $\eta \in H_n(X; G)$ be a homology class. Let S and T be finite connected π_1-surjective subcomplexes of X and let $\eta_S \in H_n(S; G)$ and $\eta_T \in H_n(T; G)$ be as demanded by definition 2.13. Then η has infinite K-area with respect to (S, η_S) if and only if η has infinite K-area with respect to (T, η_T).*

Definition 3.2. Let X and η be as above. Then η is said to have *infinite K-area* if it has infinite K-area with respect to any choice of (S, η_S).

Proof of lemma 3.1. Since $(\iota_S)_*\eta_S = \eta = (\iota_T)_*\eta_T$ and X has finitely generated fundamental group, we can add finitely many cells to $S \cup T$ to obtain a finite π_1-surjective subcomplex $U \subset X$ with $(\iota_{SU})_*\eta_S = (\iota_{TU})_*\eta_T =: \eta_U$. Here ι_{SU} is the inclusion $S \to U$. Of course, also $(\iota_U)_*\eta_U = \eta$, so it is enough to show that η has infinite K-area with respect to (S, η_S) if and only if it has infinite K-area with respect to (U, η_U).

Assume first that η has infinite K-area with respect to (U, η_U). Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have a Hermitian bundle $E_\epsilon \to U$ with an ϵ-small family of trivializations

such that

$$(f_\epsilon)_*\eta_U \neq 0$$

if $f_\epsilon\colon U \to BU$ is the classifying map of E_ϵ. But then also

$$(f_\epsilon \circ \iota_{SU})_*\eta_S \neq 0,$$

and $f_\epsilon \circ \iota_{SU}$ is the classifying map for $E_\epsilon|_S$. A closed simplicial path in S is of course also a closed simplicial path in U, so by restriction of the original family of trivializations we get an ϵ-small family of trivializations on $E_\epsilon|_S$ which is also ϵ-small along nullhomotopic closed simplicial paths, so η has infinite K-area with respect to (S, η_S).

The other direction is more complicated and involves the technical extension lemmas that we proved before. One has to construct vector bundles with a small family of trivializations on U out of the vector bundles with a small family of trivializations on S.

Assume that $E_\epsilon \to S$ is a Hermitian vector bundle with an ϵ-small family of trivializations such that

$$(f_\epsilon)_*\eta_S \neq 0,$$

where $f_\epsilon\colon S \to BU$ is the classifying map of E_ϵ.

If there is a constant c, depending only on S and U, such that one can construct a vector bundle $\bar{E} \to U$ with $\bar{E}|_S = E$ and admitting a $(c\epsilon)$-small family of trivializations, then $f_\epsilon \simeq \bar{f_\epsilon} \circ \iota_{SU}$ if $\bar{f_\epsilon}$ is the classifying map of $\bar{E}$, and therefore

$$0 \neq (f_\epsilon)_*\eta_S = (\bar{f_\epsilon})_*\eta_U,$$

so η has infinite K-area with respect to (U, η_U) if it has infinite K-area with respect to (S, η_S). Therefore, we will now prove the existence of such a constant to complete the proof of the lemma.

Let l denote the rank of $E = E_\epsilon$. By induction on the number of simplices in $U \setminus S$, we may assume that $U \setminus S$ consists either of only one simplex σ of dimension at least one, or of a single 0-simplex x and a single 1-simplex $[x, y]$, where $y \in S$. In the latter case, define $\bar{E}$ to be E over S, and to be $[x, y] \times \mathbb{C}^l$ over $[x, y]$, where we identify $\{y\} \times \mathbb{C}^l$ with E_y via some choice of orthonormal basis of E_y. The extension of the family of trivializations is defined to be the canonical trivializations on $[x, y] \times \mathbb{C}^l$. Of course, this is still ϵ-small, and the condition on nullhomotopic paths is also still fulfilled.

Now we assume that $U \setminus S$ contains only one simplex σ. If σ is one-dimensional, say $\sigma = [p, q]$, we define $\bar{E}|_\sigma$ to be $\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^l$. We identify $\{p\} \times \mathbb{C}^l$ with E_p by some choice of orthonormal basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_l)$ of E_p. If p and q can be connected in S by some simplicial path γ such that the composition of γ and σ is nullhomotopic in X, then we identify $\{q\} \times \mathbb{C}^l$ with E_q by the orthonormal basis given by parallel transporting the basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_l)$ along γ.

Indeed, such a γ always exists: Since S is connected, there is a path δ from p to q in S. Let $\tilde{\delta}$ be the path which first moves from p to q along δ, and then from q to p along σ. Since S is π_1-surjective, there is a path ρ in S, based on p, such that $[\rho] = [\tilde{\delta}]^{-1}$ in $\pi_1(X, p)$. Define γ to be the composition of ρ and δ.

We have to check the condition on simplicial paths in definition 2.13. Let η be any simplicial path in U which involves the edge σ. Let $\tilde{\eta}$ be the path which is defined by substituting in η every occurrence of σ by the path γ. By definition, parallel transport along $\tilde{\eta}$ is the same as parallel transport along η. We may let $c(U, \eta) := c(S, \tilde{\eta})$ in the definition of infinite K-area.

If σ is two-dimensional, then the path along the boundary of σ is nullhomotopic in X, so by the condition on simplicial paths parallel transport along the boundary of σ is 3ϵ-small. If ϵ is small enough, then we can extend E and the family of trivializations on E using corollary 2.8.

If σ has dimension at least 3, then we can use lemma 2.7 to extend E and the family of trivializations. □

For a simplicial complex X, let $H_*^{\mathrm{KA}<\infty}(X)$ denote the set of homology classes of finite K-area, that is those homology classes which do not have infinite K-area. If k is a field, then $H_*(X; k)$ is a vector space. In this case, we have the following fact [cf. 9]:

Proposition 3.3. *$H_*^{KA<\infty}(X; k) \subset H_*(X; k)$ is a vector subspace.*

Proof. It is obvious that $0 \in H_*^{\mathrm{KA}<\infty}(X; k)$ since for every map $f\colon S \to BU$ we have $f_*\eta_S = 0$.

Let $\eta \in H_*(X; k)$ have finite K-area and let $\lambda \in k$. Choose (S, η_S) as in definition 2.13. If $f\colon S \to BU$ is a classifying map of some Hermitian bundle $E \to S$, then

$$f_*(\lambda\eta_S) = \lambda f_*(\eta_S).$$

Therefore, if $\lambda\eta$ had infinite K-area with respect to $(S, \lambda\eta_S)$, then η would have infinite K-area with respect to (S, η), contradicting the assumption.

Now let $\eta, \xi \in H_*^{\mathrm{KA}<\infty}(X; k)$. Choose S, η_S, ξ_S as in definition 2.13. Since η, ξ have finite K-area, there exists a number $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every Hermitian bundle $E \to S$ with ϵ-small family of trivializations Φ and classifying f we have $f_*\eta_S = f_*\xi_S = 0$. Therefore, also $f_*(\eta_S + \xi_S) = 0$, and $\eta + \xi$ does not have infinite K-area with respect to $(S, \eta_S + \xi_S)$. □

Using lemma 3.1 we can show that infinite K-area is invariant under taking subdivisions of a simplicial complex.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X_1 be a triangulation of a topological space X, let X_2 be a refinement of X_1, and let $\eta \in H_n(X;G)$. Then η has infinite K-area with respect to X_1 if and only if it has infinite K-area with respect to X_2.*

Proof. We may assume that X_1 and X_2 are finite. Let first η have infinite K-area with respect to X_1. If Φ is an ϵ-small family of trivializations and σ is a simplex of X_1, then we may restrict Φ_σ to the simplices of the subdivision of σ. This gives an ϵ-small family of trivializations with respect to X_2.

Let now η have infinite K-area with respect to X_2, and let σ be a simplex of X_1. Then Δ^σ is simply connected, so we may use lemma 2.7 to get a trivialization over Δ^σ such that the transition functions to the old family of trivializations are $c\epsilon$-Lipschitz. All these new trivializations then form a $c\epsilon$-small family of trivializations with respect to X_1. □

The main aim of this section is to show that $f_*\eta$ has infinite K-area if and only if η has infinite K-area, provided that f is a simplicial map inducing an isomorphism on fundamental groups. The proof of this claim is virtually identical to the proof of the corresponding statement (proposition 3.4) in [1]. If $f_*\eta$ already has infinite K-area, the idea is to pull back the vector bundles and their families of trivializations along f.

Definition 3.5. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a simplicial map and let Φ be a family of trivializations on a bundle $E \to Y$. The *pullback* of Φ along f consists of the trivializations

$$f^*\Phi\colon \Delta^\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^l, \quad (x,v) \mapsto (x, \Phi(f(x), v)).$$

Now small families of trivializations pull back to small families of trivializations, as the following lemma shows:

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a simplicial map. Let Φ be an ϵ-small family of trivializations on a Hermitian bundle $E \to Y$. Then $f^*\Phi$ is a ϵ-small family of trivializations on f^*E.*

Proof. By definition of a simplicial map, f contracts distances. The transition functions of $f^*\Phi$ are the pullbacks of the transition functions of Φ, so they are still ϵ-Lipschitz. □

Now we proceed to the main theorem which will then in turn imply homological invariance of infinite K-area.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous (not necessarily simplicial) map, and let $\eta \in H_n(X;G)$.*

- *If $f_*\eta$ has infinite K-area, then η has infinite K-area.*

- *If η has infinite K-area and $f_* : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ is bijective, then $f_*\eta$ has infinite K-area.*

Proof. By passing to a subdivision (which is possible without loss of generality by lemma 3.4), one may assume that f is simplicial.

First let $f_*\eta$ have infinite K-area. Let $S \subset X$ and $\eta_S \in H_n(S; G)$ be as required by the definition of infinite K-area for η. Define $T \subset Y$ to be a π_1-surjective subcomplex containing $f(S)$ and let $\tilde{\eta}_T := f_*\eta_S$. Since $\tilde{\eta}$ has infinite K-area with respect to $(T, \tilde{\eta}_T)$, we can find bundles $E_\epsilon \to T$ with an ϵ-small family of trivializations Φ_ϵ as in the definition of infinite K-area. Then $f^*E_\epsilon \to S$ satisfies the condition on the classifying map, and $f^*\Phi_\epsilon$ is again an ϵ-small family of trivializations on f^*E_ϵ. The condition on nullhomotopic simplicial paths is fulfilled since if γ is nullhomotopic in X, then $f \circ \gamma$ is nullhomotopic in Y.

Now let η have infinite K-area and assume that f induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. There is a simplicial complex $M(f)$ (the mapping cylinder of f) and a subdivision X' of X which is contained in $M(f)$, together with a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M(f) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathbb{1}}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

with the property that $i\colon Y \to M(f)$ is an embedding as deformation retract and therefore a homotopy equivalence [cf. 8, p. 183]. By lemma 3.4, η has infinite K-area with respect to the triangulation X'. Let $S \subset X'$ and $\eta_S \in H_n(S; G)$ be as required by the definition of infinite K-area for η with respect to X'. Then S is also a finite π_1-surjective subcomplex of $M(f)$ (since f and therefore ι induce surjections on the fundamental groups), and we have $(\iota_{S,M(f)})_*\eta_S = \iota_*\eta \in H_n(M(f); G)$. If $E \to S$ is a bundle with an ϵ-small family of trivializations Φ that satisfies the condition on nullhomotopic loops in X', then Φ also satisfies the same condition on nullhomotopic loops in $M(f)$ since $f_*\colon \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ (and therefore $\iota_*\colon \pi_1(X') \to \pi_1(M(f))$) is injective: If some loop is nullhomotopic in $M(f)$, then it must already be nullhomotopic in X'.

Now this means that $\iota_*\eta \in H_n(M(f); G)$ has infinite K-area (with respect to (S, η_S)). Since

$$\iota_*\eta = i_*(f_*\eta),$$

we conclude that $f_*\eta$ has infinite K-area by the first part of the theorem. □

Corollary 3.8. *The definition of infinite K-area does not depend on the choice of triangulation.*

Proof. Take $f = \mathbb{1}$ in theorem 3.7. □

Corollary 3.9 (Homological invariance of infinite K-area)**.** *Let X be a closed Riemannian manifold and let $f\colon X \to B\pi_1(X)$ be the classifying map of the universal cover (i. e. a map which induces the identity on fundamental groups). Then X has infinite K-area if and only if $f_*[X] \in H_{dim(X)}(B\pi_1(X))$ has infinite K-area.*

Proof. By corollary 2.14 and lemma 3.1, X has infinite K-area if and only if $[X]$ has infinite K-area. By theorem 3.7, this is the case if and only if $f_*[X]$ has infinite K-area. □

The following corollary gives a positive answer to a question posed in [10]:

Corollary 3.10. *Let X be a finite simplicial complex, $f\colon X \to B\pi_1(X)$ be a classifying map for its universal cover and let $Y \subset B\pi_1(X)$ be a finite subcomplex containing $f(X)$. Then there are constants $C, \epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and every bundle $E \to X$ with an ϵ-small family of trivializations, there exists a bundle $\tilde{E} \to Y$ with a $C\epsilon$-small family of trivializations such that $E = f^*\tilde{E}$.*

Proof. This statement is a corollary of the proof of theorem 3.7. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M(f) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathbb{1}}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

again. Starting with a bundle $E \to X = X' \subset M(f)$, we could extend this bundle to a bundle $\hat{E} \to S$ using lemma 3.1, where $S \subset M(f)$ was any finite subcomplex containing X'. In particular, we chose S to be the union of X' and $i(Y)$. Now take $\tilde{E} := i^*\hat{E}$. □

4. Consequences of homological invariance

In this section I want to discuss some immediate consequences of homological invariance of K-area and of theorem 3.7. The first one is obvious and has already been remarked by Gromov:

Corollary 4.1 ([5, $4\frac{1}{3}$])**.** *The property of having infinite K-area is a homotopy invariant for closed Riemannian manifolds.* □

This homotopy invariance can be proven much easier right from the definition of K-area since a homotopy equivalence between Riemannian manifolds is Lipschitz with some constant. However, there is a generalization:

Corollary 4.2. *Let X and Y be manifolds and let $X \to Y$ be a map of non-zero degree. If Y has infinite K-area, then also X has infinite K-area. If the map induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups and if X has infinite K-area, then also Y has infinite K-area.*

Proof. Use theorem 3.7 together with corollary 2.14 and proposition 3.3. □

The following has already been proven by Gromov in [5].

Corollary 4.3 ([5, $4\frac{1}{4}$])**.** *Every closed simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $2k > 0$ has finite K-area.*

Proof. $H_*(B\{0\}) = H_*(\{\mathrm{pt}\})$ is trivial in positive dimensions and the zero homology class does not have infinite K-area. □

This corollary also has a generalization. Recall that a closed oriented manifold X is called (rationally) *essential* [4] if $f\colon X \to B\pi_1(X)$ satisfies $f_*([X]) \neq 0 \in H_*(B\pi_1(X); \mathbb{Q})$ where $[X] \in H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is the fundamental class of X. Of course, simply connected manifolds are never essential.

Corollary 4.4. *A closed oriented manifold with infinite K-area is essential.*

Proof. X has infinite K-area if and only if $[X]$ has infinite K-area, but 0 does not have infinite K-area. □

The last fact is invariance under surgery, which has already been shown directly by Fukumoto in [3]. Let X be a differentiable manifold, and let $S^p \times D^q \subset X$ where $p + q = \dim(X)$. We have that $\partial(S^p \times D^q) = S^p \times S^{q-1} = \partial(D^{p+1} \times S^{q-1})$. Write

$$X^{\#} := (X \setminus (S^p \times \mathring{D}^q)) \underset{S^p \times S^{q-1}}{\cup} (D^{p+1} \times S^{q-1}).$$

The result is again a differentiable manifold, and we say that $X^{\#}$ is obtained from X *by p-surgery.*

Corollary 4.5 ([3, Theorem 0.2])**.** *Let X be a closed oriented Riemannian manifold with infinite K-area, and let $X^{\#}$ be obtained from X by p-surgery, where $p \neq 1$. Then also $X^{\#}$ has infinite K-area.*

Proof. Let

$$B := (X \times I) \underset{S^p \times D^q \times \{1\}}{\cup} (D^{p+1} \times D^q).$$

Then $\partial B = X - X^{\#}$, so this is a bordism between X and $X^{\#}$. Now let $f\colon X \to B\pi_1(X)$ be the classifying map of the universal cover of X. By corollary 3.9, $f_*[X]$ has infinite K-area. Consider the restriction of f to $S^p \times D^q$. Since $p \neq 1$, $\pi_p(B\pi_1(X)) = 0$, so $f|_{S^p \times D^q}$ is nullhomotopic and may therefore be extended to $(D^{p+1} \times D^q)$.

This means that f may be extended to B. Since $[X] = [X^{\#}]$ in the homology of B, $f_*[X^{\#}] = f_*[X]$ has infinite K-area, so $[X^{\#}]$ has infinite K-area by theorem 3.7, hence also $X^{\#}$ has infinite K-area by corollary 3.9. □

Appendix A. A holonomy estimate

In this section, I will give a proof of the fact that parallel transport along the boundary along the boundary of a small region is close to the identity if the curvature is small. This fact is used a lot in the literature, but the author did not find a reference. The proof given here follows ideas from [11] and an unpublished proof by Jost-Hinrich Eschenburg, who in turn learned the idea from Hermann Karcher.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\pi\colon E \to M$ be a Hermitian vector bundle with compatible connection ∇, and let $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to M$ be a smooth curve. Let $T_\gamma(t)\colon E_{\gamma(t)} \to E_{\gamma(1)}$ denote parallel transport along γ, and let $s\colon [0,1] \to E$ be a section along γ, i. e. $\pi s = \gamma$. Then*

$$\partial_t \left(T_\gamma(t) s(t)\right) = T_\gamma(t) \nabla_{\dot{c}(t)} s(t)$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof. Let (e_i) be a parallel frame along γ, i. e. $e_i(t) \in E_{\gamma(t)}$ and $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} e_i = 0$ for all t. Write $s = \sum_i s^i e_i$. Then

$$T_\gamma(t) \nabla_{\dot{c}(t)} s(t) = T_\gamma(t) \left(\sum_i \partial_t s^i(t) e_i(t) \right) = \sum_i \left(\partial_t s^i(t)\right) e_i(1)$$

using the fact that e_i is parallel. On the other hand,

$$\partial_t \left(T_\gamma(t) s(t)\right) = \partial_t \left(\sum_i s^i(t) e_i(1) \right) = \sum_i \left(\partial_t s^i(t)\right) e_i(1). \qquad \square$$

Theorem A.2. *Let $E \to M$ be a Hermitian vector bundle with compatible connection ∇. Let $f\colon [0,1]^2 \to M$ be smooth, and let $P_{\partial f}$ denote parallel transport along the curve $f_*\partial[0,1]^2$. We write $S := f_*\partial_s$ and $T := f_*\partial T$. Assume in addition that the curvature tensor $\mathcal{R}^\nabla$ associated to ∇ satisfies $\|\mathcal{R}^\nabla(S \wedge T)\| < \epsilon \|S \wedge T\|$. Then*

$$\|P_{\partial f} - \mathbb{1}\| < \epsilon \cdot \mathit{area}(f),$$

where $\mathit{area}(f) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \|S \wedge T\| \, ds \, dt$.

Proof. Let $x \in E_{f(0,0)}$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and $x' := P_{\partial f} x$. For $(s,t) \in [0,1]^2$ let $X(s,t) \in E_{f(s,t)}$ be the parallel translate of x along the curves $s \mapsto f(s,0)$ and $t \mapsto f(s,t)$:



Let $P_{(s,t)} \colon E_{f(s,t)} \to E_{f(1,1)}$ denote parallel translation along the curves $t \mapsto f(s,t)$ and $s \mapsto f(s,1)$:



Now $x' = P_{(0,0)}^{-1} X(1,1)$ and $P_{(0,0)} x = P_{(0,1)} X(0,1)$, so

$$P_{(0,0)}(x' - x) = P_{(1,1)} X(1,1) - P_{(0,1)} X(0,1) = \int_0^1 \partial_s \left(P_{(s,1)} X(s,1) \right) \, ds. \tag{4}$$

Now lemma A.1 implies that

$$\partial_s \left(P_{(s,1)} X(s,1) \right) = P_{(s,1)} \nabla_S X(s,1). \tag{5}$$

Since $X(s,0)$ is parallel, we have that $\nabla_S X(s,0) = 0$ and therefore

$$P_{(s,1)} \nabla_S X(s,1) = \int_0^1 \partial_t \left(P_{(s,t)} \nabla_S X(s,t) \right) \, dt = \int_0^1 P_{(s,t)} \nabla_T \nabla_S X(s,t) \, dt, \tag{6}$$

again employing lemma A.1. However, $\nabla_T X(s,t) = 0$, so

$$\nabla_T \nabla_S X(s,t) = \mathcal{R}^{\nabla}(T,S) X(s,t). \tag{7}$$

Putting equations (4), (5), (6) and (7) together, we obtain

$$\|x' - x\| \leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \|\mathcal{R}^\nabla(T,S)\|\, dt\, ds < \epsilon \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \|S \wedge T\|\, ds\, dt$$

using that ∇ is compatible with the metric and that hence parallel transport is unitary. By definition of area(f), this completes the proof. □

References

[1] Michael Brunnbauer and Bernhard Hanke. "Large and small group homology". In: *Journal of Topology* 3.2 (2010), pp. 463–486.

[2] Alain Connes, Mikhael Gromov, and Henri Moscovici. "Conjecture de Novikov et fibrés presque plats". In: *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 310 (1990), pp. 273–277.

[3] Yoshiyasu Fukumoto. "Invariance of finiteness of K-area under surgery". In: *Geometriae Dedicata* 176.1 (2015), pp. 175–183.

[4] Mikhael Gromov. "Filling Riemannian manifolds". In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 18.1 (1983), pp. 1–147.

[5] Mikhael Gromov. "Positive Curvature, Macroscopic Dimension, Spectral Gaps and Higher Signatures". In: *Functional Analysis on the Eve of the 21st Century Volume II*. Vol. 132. Progress in Mathematics. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1996, pp. 1–213.

[6] Mikhael Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson. "Spin and Scalar Curvature in the Presence of a Fundamental Group. I". In: *Annals of Mathematics* 111.2 (1980), pp. 209–230.

[7] Bernhard Hanke. "Positive Scalar Curvature, K-area and Essentialness". In: *Global Differential Geometry*. Ed. by Christian Bär, Joachim Lohkamp, and Matthias Schwarz. Vol. 17. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics. Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 275–302.

[8] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[9] Mario Listing. "Homology of finite K-area". In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 275.1-2 (2013), pp. 91–107.

[10] Alexander S. Mishchenko and Nicolae Teleman. "Almost flat bundles and almost flat structures". In: *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 26.1 (2005), pp. 75–87.

[11] Raffaele Rani. *On Parallel Transport and Curvature*. 2009. URL: `http://www.math.ku.dk/~moller/students/rani.pdf` (visited on 08/18/2015).

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany

E-mail address: `benedikt.seelstrang@math.uni-augsburg.de`